

## Record of officer decision

<b>Decision title:</b>	Funding towards the cost of the Core Service Provision at The Rose Garden Extra Care Scheme
<b>Date of decision:</b>	<b>15 March 2019</b>
<b>Decision maker:</b>	Director Adults and Communities
<b>Authority for delegated decision:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">chief executive's scheme of delegation</a></li> </ul>
<b>Ward:</b>	'Countywide'
<b>Consultation:</b>	<p>No consultation is required as there is no change to the service provision.</p> <p>The current provider has been consulted extensively and has informed the decision.</p>
<b>Decision made:</b>	To award £58,000 to Platform Housing (previously known as Fortis) as part of a previous decision to part fund the Core Service provided at the Rose Garden Extra Care Scheme for the period 1 April 2019 until 31 March 2020.
<b>Reasons for decision:</b>	<p>The current contract ceases on the 31 March 2019.</p> <p>The funding contributes towards the cost of a 24 hour on site response service that supports those with and without eligible care needs.</p> <p>The service is considered value for money as it supports an elderly group of people many of who may need more intensive/ expensive provision such as residential care, if the 24 hour response service was not in place. The service can also evidence reductions to wider health service such as A&amp;E.</p> <p>Without the council's contribution the full cost of the service would have to be met by the residents who already pay towards the core service as part of their weekly service charges. The current service charge is in excess of £120 per week and this would increase by around £13 if the council's contribution ceased.</p> <p>The council's contribution ensures that the overall service provision at the scheme is operationally and financially viable. It is evident that the core service would be unviable as a standalone service. Platform has also stated that they would be unwilling to allow another service provider to operate at the property. Therefore, a direct award of the contract is required in this case.</p> <p>The 12 months term will provide an opportunity to review and redesign the service with the provider partners.</p>
<b>Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:</b>	No risks have been identified as part of this decision, the funding is already within budgets for 2019/20.
<b>Details of any alternative options considered and</b>	The council could decide not to fund the service. This is not recommended as this would pose risk to the current Provider

**rejected:**

delivering support within the service. This would cause undue stress for the residents.

The gap in funding would be passed to the residents which may be unaffordable.

The council could reduce the amount it funds. This is not recommended as the contribution is considered to represent value for money and reduces demand on other service areas.

<b>Details of any declarations of interest made:</b>	If any officers or members involved or consulted in the decision-making have declared an interest you should include the declaration here.

Signed..... Date: